

Lessons for the next pandemic from COVID-19 and other emerging viral zoonoses

Graham Casey MSc[†]

Abstract

SARS-CoV-2 is a novel coronavirus that emerged rapidly and caused devastating effects worldwide. Understanding principles of virus emergence is necessary to adequately prepare for future pandemics. It is also important to understand and appreciate public health and human behavioural responses to outbreaks. Examining specific emerging zoonotic viruses can provide insight into general trends in viral emergence. In this narrative review, three emerging viruses and one potentially emerging human virus are discussed with comparisons to the ongoing SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Their emergence is framed within a five-stage model for emerging infectious diseases described previously. Ebola virus, Nipah virus, monkeypox virus, and canine distemper virus are used as examples of emerging and potentially emerging viral zoonoses. These viruses are described in order to develop a better understanding of the breadth of existing emerging viruses, the means by which they emerge into human populations, and of how human behaviour shapes the course of their emergence.

Keywords: viral emergence, zoonoses, ebolavirus, henipaviruses, poxviruses

Conflict of Interest Statement: None to declare.

Introduction

The current COVID-19 pandemic shows what damage an emerging virus can cause. SARS-CoV-2 is currently hypothesized to have been introduced into humans from an animal source (likely bats) in late 2019. The first cases appeared to cluster in Wuhan, China but quickly spread worldwide.¹⁻³ Along with questions about the origins of SARS-CoV-2 come questions about what other viruses circulate in animals ready for the right conditions to spark the next pandemic.

There exists a five-stage model for emerging infectious diseases initially described by Wolfe et al.⁴ with important modifications from Lloyd-Smith et al.⁵ It describes the stages of emergence of a zoonotic pathogen from an exclusively animal pathogen (stage I) to an exclusively human pathogen (stage V), with diseases that are transmitted from animals to humans (referred to as zoonoses) representing stages II to IV (Table 1). In this narrative review, a selection of emerging viral pathogens will be discussed using the framework of this five-stage model. Discussion of these emerging viral pathogens will be used to illustrate factors that modulate the progression of a virus from causing an animal disease to a disease with pandemic potential. Connections will then be made to the story of SARS-CoV-2.

There are many emerging viruses with important aspects worth discussing, but only a few will be discussed in this review. Ebola virus is a stage IV pathogen that provides a strong example of the transition from causing an animal viral disease to a human viral disease. It also demonstrates how human behaviour, largely motivated by fear and distrust, shapes pandemics. The two strains of Nipah virus demonstrate the distinction between a stage II and stage III emerging virus. They also show how the context of the emergence of a virus is influenced by human-animal interfaces, politics, and cultural practices. Disease X is discussed to highlight that the next pandemic could be caused by a virus that is unexpected or undiscovered. The two viruses chosen to represent Disease X (monkeypox virus and canine distemper virus) were chosen for their relevance to the discussion of the “species barrier,” consequences of viruses with broad host ranges, and difficulties predicting the cause of the next pandemic.

Ebola virus

Ebolavirus is a genus in the family *Filoviridae* containing several viruses including Ebola virus (EBOV, species *Zaire ebolavirus*), the causative agent of Ebola virus disease (EVD). EBOV was first discovered in the

*Correspondence to: caseyg@myumanitoba.ca

[†]Max Rady College of Medicine, University of Manitoba

Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), formerly Zaire, in 1976.⁶ To date, there have been 21 known outbreaks of EVD.⁷⁻⁹ The largest outbreak was the 2013-2016 West Africa Ebola epidemic, with 28 646 suspected cases and 11 310 deaths.⁶ The end of the epidemic in 2016 was not the end of EBOV. There have been seven more outbreaks of EVD since, including two EVD outbreaks in 2021 in the DRC and Guinea.⁸

EBOV is a zoonotic virus. Initially regarded as a hemorrhagic fever virus, this definition was reconsidered when the predominant manifestation of EVD in the West African EVD epidemic was severe gastroenteritis and multiorgan failure, with rare cases of bleeding described.⁶ The animal reservoir is not definitively known but is suspected to be African fruit bats.⁶ Historically, each outbreak appeared to involve contact with tissues or secretion of infected or dead wildlife.⁶ EBOV is considered to be highly infectious, requiring small doses of virus to cause disease.^{10,11} The human-to-human transmission occurs via direct contact with bodily fluids of infected individuals (including blood, vomitus, diarrhea, urine, saliva, breast milk, semen, and potentially sweat). Individuals in contact with infectious fluids can become infected if the virus contacts oral, conjunctival, or respiratory mucosa, or breaks in the skin.^{10,11} Recently, more attention is being given to the long-term persistence of EBOV in the semen of EVD survivors and sexual transmission of EBOV.¹²

During the West African EVD epidemic, it became clear that EBOV should be considered a stage IV emerging pathogen. While it is suspected that the epidemic still started with an animal-to-human transmission event, EBOV was capable of sustained secondary transmission between humans.^{13,14} Concerningly, the three most recent outbreaks (DRC 2020, Guinea 2021, and DRC 2021) are thought to have originated from long-term persistence of EBOV in EVD survivors from previous outbreaks rather than from contact with an animal source.^{8,12,15} This indicates a potential mechanism by which EBOV could circulate in human populations without first being introduced by animals. Such a mechanism stands in contrast to previous EVD outbreaks wherein human-to-human transmission occurred but outbreaks were thought to originate from animal sources and secondary transmission was apparently self-limited (i.e. a stage III pathogen).⁶

In the West African EVD epidemic, significant EBOV transmission from the still-infectious bodies of EVD victims occurred during funerary and burial rites. When this was determined, the World Health Organization (WHO) made recommendations that heavily restricted traditional burial practices.¹⁶ Rituals varied, but commonly involved washing and spending time with the body.^{16,17} The WHO's recommendations were traumatizing to many cultures and were met with resistance due to the significant alteration to their core way of life and the distressing imposition by a foreign authority on traditional cultural practices.^{16,17}

Public health responses to global threats must take care to be culturally sensitive. Otherwise, they may

be met with significant resistance. This has been seen locally during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in the vocal opposition to pandemic restrictions on religious gatherings in Manitoba. For example, these restrictions were met with noncompliance in the form of the Steinhilber protests.^{18,19} The effects of culture on health behaviours in a pandemic can be seen on a broader scale in the differential adherence to public health policies according to political ideology²⁰ and in the differences in the policies themselves.^{21,22}

The impositions on local culture in the West African EVD epidemic contributed to the already substantial fear permeating the outbreak. Descriptions of EVD were terrifying. The alien-like personal protective equipment, healthcare workers falling sick, and stories of loved ones disappearing into Ebola treatment units (ETUs) only to be returned dead all contributed to the spread of fear. This fear fueled the spread of EBOV.¹⁷ People hid when sick or insisted on caring for loved ones at home. They fled from EVD-stricken areas, bringing virus with them. Contingents of healthcare workers stepped down from their roles in the face of EVD.¹⁷

In the Kivu 2018 outbreak, such fear and foreign imposition coalesced into violence. Wells et al.²³ describe "rumors about foreigners experimenting on locals, taking organs, and filling the bodies with concrete and Ebola being a fabrication" among locals in the Katwa health region that preceded a violent riot at a nearby ETU.²³ An important lesson from the EVD outbreak is the impact that fear can have on the evolution of an outbreak. Similar examples from the ongoing SARS-CoV-2 pandemic have been observed. These include the avoidance of necessary healthcare for fear of acquiring COVID-19²⁴ as well as the psychological toll on healthcare workers,²⁵ patients,²⁶ and public following isolation guidelines.²⁷

Nipah virus

Nipah virus (NiV) is an emerging zoonotic paramyxovirus in the genus *Henipavirus* consisting of 2 strains: NiV-Malaysia (NiV-M) and NiV-Bangladesh (NiV-B). They are named after the locations where they first emerged. Both NiV-M and NiV-B cause a high-mortality severe encephalitis and respiratory syndrome in infected humans.^{28,29} The reservoir host for both strains of NiV is fruit bat genus *Pteropus*.³⁰ NiV-M and NiV-B have been largely epidemiologically distinct, and so they will be discussed separately from each other.

Nipah-Malaysia

The first outbreak of NiV in 1998-1999 was the only outbreak of NiV-M. It resulted from the transmission of NiV-M from Pteropid bats to pigs, and then from infected pigs to the humans.³¹ Unlike in humans, NiV-M was easily transmitted between pigs, had a low case-fatality rate, and often produced no or mild clinical signs.³¹ Pigs functioned as excellent amplifying hosts for NiV-M, greatly expanding the interface for expo-

sure in humans. NiV-M was transmitted from infected pigs to humans mainly through close contact during routine pig farming procedures (such as piglet processing, assisting in birthing, or preparation for slaughter). This exposed people working with live pigs to aerosolized droplets from respiratory and oronasal secretions of the pigs.³²⁻³⁵ In this outbreak, all human cases of the disease were traced to infected pigs. There was no definitive proof of human-to-human transmission, making NiV-M a stage II zoonosis.³⁶

The emergence of NiV-M was a result of agricultural practices that kept mango orchards and pig herds on the same land with no barrier between them. NiV-M-carrying Pteropid bats fed from fruit trees overhanging pigsties. They occasionally dropped virus-contaminated fruit (with bat urine or saliva) into the sties for pigs to sniff, consume, and become infected.³⁷⁻⁴⁰ Evidence suggests that the emergence of NiV-M into pigs had been ongoing for several years. The outbreak in 1998-1999 was made possible by the pattern of repeated bat-to-pig transmission over time.^{38,41} Each NiV-M introduction into pigs caused mini-epidemics in pig herds that quickly spread through the population. Agricultural practices of keeping sows long-term while selling young pigs early prevented herds from developing total immunity to NiV-M infection by ensuring a rapid turnover of susceptible hosts. Paradoxically, the state of partial immunity to NiV-M allowed epidemics to burn for longer and spread more widely than in a wholly NiV-naïve population. This occurred until NiV-M ultimately exceeded a threshold in pig herds that resulted in spillover into humans.^{38,41} Prevention of future similar outbreaks requires rigorous surveillance as well as rethinking how to avoid agricultural practices that increase the risk of exposure to, and adaptation of, novel viruses.

Many human social and political factors also influenced the course of the NiV-M outbreak. Malaysian pig farmers engaged in the practice of “fire sales”, or panic selling in the face of a disease outbreak. This contributed to the spread of NiV-M infections throughout Malaysia and into Singapore.³⁸ The outbreak was at first mistaken for an outbreak of Japanese encephalitis virus (a vaccine-preventable, mosquito-borne virus). This resulted in the Malaysian government ineffectively responding with JEV vaccinations and anti-mosquito fogging.⁴² Even short delays in the recognition of an emerging pathogen can have devastating consequences. Indeed, there are many accusations of various countries’ slow, surprised response to SARS-CoV-2⁴³ and of poor information-sharing early in the outbreak.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁸ The 1998-1999 NiV-M outbreak was eventually controlled by the culling of over a million pigs in Malaysia.³² No confirmed human infections of NiV-M have been observed since.

Nipah-Bangladesh

All subsequent NiV outbreaks have involved NiV-B, occurred in Bangladesh or India, and have been initiated

by direct transmission of the virus from Pteropid bats to people. This cross-species transmission of NiV-B has commonly been mediated by human consumption of raw date palm sap, which is harvested from open containers hanging in trees available to bats.^{29,36} Multiple small outbreaks of NiV-B have occurred since 2001 in a similar pattern to the outbreaks of EBOV between its 1976 discovery and the 2013-2016 West Africa outbreak. This pattern is referred to as stuttering transmission, describing the outbreak pattern of an emerging virus that is capable of self-limited (i.e. not indefinite) human-to-human transmission. Stuttering transmission can represent an important phase in the adaptation of a virus into a new host.⁴⁹

Like what was observed with EBOV, some cultural practices in Bangladesh contributed to NiV-B outbreaks. Boiling date palm sap before consumption could have prevented many index infections. However, consuming raw date palm sap is important to Bengali culture and the risk of infection is low overall. As such, this behaviour is difficult to modify.⁵⁰ Striking a balance between safe, long-term behaviour change versus disrupting culturally-important behaviours is a complicated task in global health. Discussion with affected communities is critical in order to develop effective interventions. For NiV-B, simply using bamboo skirts on date palm sap containers can effectively reduce bat contamination of sap while requiring little behaviour change or invasive demands.⁵¹⁻⁵³ For SARS-CoV-2, an analogy may be made to calls to shut down wet markets in China.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ Although the calls appear as a promising pandemic prevention strategy, they oversimplify the complex issue of intersecting cultural traditions and socioeconomic forces. An approach to emerging infectious diseases must account for these complexities. Obtaining cooperation requires working with affected parties as opposed to dictating to them.^{57,58}

NiV-B saw fewer instances of animal-to-human transmission than NiV-M. Unlike NiV-M, NiV-B outbreaks have involved multiple instances of human-to-human transmission. This makes NiV-B a stage III zoonosis.^{36,59} Human-to-human NiV-B transmission risk factors include close contact (e.g. touching a patient or their secretions, or being near them when they cough) and aerosolizing procedures in the absence of proper personal protective equipment.³⁶

A cultural norm in Bangladeshi healthcare is for family members to provide significant hands-on care and close physical comfort to the sick, especially near the time of death.⁶⁰ In severe illness, family members are described as cradling their loved one’s head in their laps, attempting to spoon-feed them, or hugging and kissing them.^{36,60} Family in Bangladesh perform much of the hands-on care in-hospital.⁶⁰ Cruelly, transmission of NiV-B in this cultural context of compassionate care results in infection of people who are at the same time loved ones and healthcare providers. These cultural practices also contributed to some superspreading events where a single infected individual transmits the disease to an unusually high number of people. In one

case, an important religious figure was ill with NiV-B and transmitted the virus to 22 devotees who had come to perform ceremonial rites for him in his illness.³⁶ Superspreading has also been described for SARS-CoV-2 and may inform public health responses by targeting them towards certain behaviours.⁶¹ Similar to what was seen with the 2013–2016 West Africa Ebola outbreak, cases of NiV-B have also been attributed to burial practices.^{36,62} Pandemics force societies to address what they can sacrifice, such as conceptions of good healthcare or a good death. For the purposes of the current pandemic, healthcare bodies must therefore consider the number of people who have and will die alone in hospitals to prevent the spread of COVID-19.⁶³

Disease X

The WHO's list of priority pathogens for research and development in emergency contexts has included "Disease X" since 2016.^{64–66} Disease X refers to a pathogen that is not yet known but could have large impacts on human health. SARS-CoV-2 is a strong example of this. Including Disease X on the list of priority pathogens is important because the viruses of which we do not know outnumber the ones that we do know. Therefore, the next pandemic virus could easily be drawn from the vast pool of undiscovered virus species.^{67–69} It is difficult to study or review viral pathogens that are unknown. Consequently, there is significant interest in determining exactly what changes in a virus may allow it to cross the species barrier, increase its virulence, or increase transmissibility.

Monkeypox virus

Monkeypox virus (MPXV) is a zoonotic virus in the genus *Orthopoxvirus* that is related to the now-eradicated variola virus (VARV), the causative agent of smallpox. MPXV causes a disease similar to smallpox that is not as deadly. Most cases occur in the DRC^{70,71}. MPXV has been a growing concern since the cessation of routine smallpox vaccination, which historically provided some cross-protection to all orthopoxviruses.⁷⁰ Transmission of MPXV between humans occurs with relatively low efficiency and usually by the respiratory route. MPXV can also infect through mucosal surfaces and breaches in the skin.^{72,73} The low-efficiency transmission makes MPXV a stage III emerging virus as it cannot sustain human-to-human transmission indefinitely.⁷⁰ Similar to NiV-B and early EBOV, MPXV appears to be in the stuttering transmission phase of emergence. Its transmission efficiency may also be increasing.^{71,74,75} Vaccines against MPXV are being developed for use in the DRC to specifically prevent MPXV infections.⁷⁶ However, with an existing animal reservoir, it is unlikely that MPXV could be eradicated.

Despite its name, MPXV's natural reservoir is suspected to be an ecologically complex group of small terrestrial mammals prevalent in forest margins and

peridomestic zones near human habitations. Monkeys, like humans, are incidentally infected.⁷⁵ MPXV's broad host range indicates it might be more tolerant to interspecific host variations and thus amenable to adaptation in humans.⁷⁷ While it is not true that all viruses with broad host ranges are dangerous to humans, many emerging viruses (including NiV, EBOV, and SARS-CoV-2) have broad host ranges.^{78–80} Additionally, MPXV can infect monkeys, which may give it an advantage to emergence into humans. This is because it already infects a species that is (relatively) genetically similar.⁷¹ Genetic relatedness of animal reservoir species is not a foolproof method of identifying emerging virus threats, but it can help narrow the field of likely animal sources of emerging viruses. For example, a paper published in January 2020 suggested that snakes might be the source of SARS-CoV-2.⁸¹ This has since been discounted, but even in the uncertainty of the early COVID-19 pandemic, the vast species differences between humans and reptiles were a plausible reason to consider this hypothesis unlikely.⁸²

Canine distemper virus

Another example of a candidate "Disease X" is canine distemper virus (CDV). CDV is a virus in the genus *Morbillivirus*, family *Paramyxoviridae*, which also contains measles virus (MeV; a stage V pathogen, only infecting humans). CDV has a broad host range including canines (the primary host), large cats, ferrets, seals, and Macaca primates. Transmission of CDV between animal hosts is thought to be by production and inhalation of infectious aerosols.⁸³ It is highly contagious in many hosts and in some it is highly lethal (with case fatality rate as high as 90%).^{84,85} Currently, CDV is a stage I pathogen with no reported human cases. However, evidence has been found that circulating strains of CDV could be as little as one amino acid change away from efficient infection of human cells.^{86,87}

Fortunately, similar to MPXV before smallpox eradication, CDV emergence into humans may currently be prevented by cross-protective immunity from MeV vaccinations.⁸⁸ Even without pre-existing heterologous immunity from MeV vaccines, there are far more barriers to interspecies transmission than just viral entry. It may therefore be presumptuous to offer that a single amino acid change on the CDV receptor is sufficient for transmission to and between humans.⁸⁹

Nevertheless, there are many reasons for concern about a virus such as CDV. The broad host range of CDV suggests that it could quickly adapt to human hosts.⁷⁷ Humans have a large interface with CDV-susceptible animals, particularly domestic dogs.⁸⁵ Outbreaks of zoonotic viruses do not bode well for the affected humans or animals. The discovery that SARS-CoV-2 could infect cats resulted in public health recommendations for protecting both household pets and pet owners,⁹⁰ but these did not stop people from abandoning or killing housecats.^{80,91,92} Zoonotic viruses affecting agricultural animals are usually controlled by

large culls,^{32,93,94} as was recently demonstrated with SARS-CoV-2 and mink farms in the Netherlands and Denmark.^{95,96} Such control methods come at a significant cost to the relevant economies and the psychological wellbeing of the farmers and cullers involved.^{97–99} A virus with a broad host range is a threat to more than just human health.

Of course, CDV may never cause a human case. However, the above concerns about CDV have all been realized in some way by SARS-CoV-2 and other emerging viruses. What are the chances that CDV is the only virus that is a few mutations away from infecting humans? In contrast to the lengthy stuttering transmission phases of NiV-B and EBOV, SARS-CoV-2 has demonstrated that an unknown virus can emerge into a worldwide pandemic within months.

It is at the boundary of the unknown viruses – Disease X – where predictions become difficult.¹⁰⁰ Similar arguments to CDV could be made for any number of pathogens.^{88,101} By attempting to identify exact viral agents, researchers are forced to select from the known viruses and fall prey to publication bias and the availability heuristic. There are many unknown viruses.^{67–69} How do we protect ourselves from so much unknown?

Conclusions

There exists an unnerving task: to prepare for future pandemics without knowing what will cause them. A multifaceted approach is required. Research should focus on strategies for pandemic prevention and preparedness that can be broadly effective for classes of viruses. Clear communication of rigorous research between scientists, governments, public health offices, healthcare providers, and citizens is imperative. Social and public health strategies should focus on basic infection prevention and control strategies that are easily deployed, such as barrier precautions, masks, and hand hygiene. The interfaces between human populations, domestic animals, and wildlife should be carefully observed so that signs of emerging threats or spillover can be addressed. Environmental stewardship, responsible agriculture, and ethical interactions with wildlife should be practiced.

Human behaviour drives pandemics. Past outbreaks and epidemics can help us to understand human behaviour in the COVID-19 pandemic and hopefully to understand the rationale behind public and global health responses. The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 has afforded us our reluctant opportunity to reflect on a situation that less than two years ago was science fiction. How have I acted in this pandemic? What should I be doing to prevent or survive the next one? What are we ready and able to sacrifice? Hopefully, with all that has been learned up to and throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, the world is a small step farther away from the next one.

References

- [1] Banerjee A, Doxey AC, Mossman K, Irving AT. Unraveling the Zoonotic Origin and Transmission of SARS-CoV-2. *Trends Ecol Evol.* 2020;3(36):180–4. [Cited 2020 December 13]. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33384197>.
- [2] Benvenuto D, Giovanetti M, Salemi M, Prosperi M, De Flora C, Junior Alcantara LC, et al. The global spread of 2019-nCoV: a molecular evolutionary analysis. *Pathog Glob Health.* 2020;114(2):64–7.
- [3] Joint WHO-China Study Team. WHO-convened Global Study of Origins of SARS-CoV-2: China Part; 2021. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-convened-global-study-of-origins-of-sars-cov-2-china-part>.
- [4] Wolfe ND, Dunavan CP, Diamond J. Origins of major human infectious diseases. *Nature.* 2007;447(7142):279–83.
- [5] Lloyd-Smith JO, George D, Pepin KM, Pitzer VE, Pulliam JRC, Dobson AP, et al. Epidemic dynamics at the human-animal interface. *Science.* 2009;326(5958):1362–7.
- [6] Nicastrì E, Kobinger G, Vairo F, Montaldo C, Mboera LEG, Ansunama R, et al. Ebola Virus Disease: Epidemiology, Clinical Features, Management, and Prevention. *Infect Dis Clin North Am.* 2019;33(4):953–76.
- [7] Kuhn JH, Adachi T, Adhikari NKJ, Arribas B JR, IE B, G D, et al. New filovirus disease classification and nomenclature. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2019;17(5):261–3.
- [8] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. History of Ebola Virus Disease (EVD). Outbreaks; [cited 2021 Apr 18]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/vhf/ebola/history/chronology.html>.
- [9] Languon S, Quaye O. Filovirus Disease Outbreaks: A Chronological Overview. *Virology (Auckl).* 2019; Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31258326>.
- [10] Judson S, Prescott J, Munster V. Understanding ebola virus transmission. *Viruses.* 2015;7(2):511–21. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25654239>.
- [11] Osterholm MT, Moore KA, Kelley NS, Brosseau LM, Wong G, Murphy FA, et al. Transmission of Ebola viruses: what we know and what we do not know. *MBio.* 2015;6(2).

- [12] Schindell BG, Webb AL, Kindrachuk J. Persistence and Sexual Transmission of Filoviruses. *Viruses*. 2018;10(12):683. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30513823>.
- [13] Marí Saéz A, Weiss S, Nowak K, Lapeyre V, Zimmermann F, Dux A, et al. Investigating the zoonotic origin of the West African Ebola epidemic. *EMBO Mol Med*. 2015;7(1):17–23.
- [14] Kock R, Begovoeva M, Ansumana R, Suluku R. Searching for the source of Ebola: the elusive factors driving its spillover into humans during the West African outbreak of 2013-2016. *Rev Sci Tech*. 2019;38(1):113–22.
- [15] Den Boon S, Marston B, Nyenswah T, Jambai A, Barry M, Keita S, et al. Ebola Virus Infection Associated with Transmission from Survivors. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2019;25(2):249–55.
- [16] Coltart CEM, Lindsey B, Ghinai I, Johnson AM, Heymann DL. The Ebola outbreak, 2013-2016: old lessons for new epidemics. *Philos Trans R Soc London Ser B, Biol Sci*. 2017;372(1721).
- [17] Shultz JM, Cooper JL, Baingana F, Oquendo MA, Espinel Z, Althouse BM, et al. The Role of Fear-Related Behaviors in the 2013-2016 West Africa Ebola Virus Disease Outbreak. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2016;18(11):104.
- [18] Dueck S. Hanover Minister Fined Twice For Breaking Pandemic Rules. Steinbach Online; [Cited 2020 Nov 24]. Available from: <https://steinbachonline.com/local/hanover-pastor-fined-twice-for-breaking-pandemic-rules>.
- [19] Unger D. Steinbach minister handed another fine for defying public health orders. Winnipeg CTV News; 2021. [Cited 2021 Jan 7]. Available from: <https://winnipeg.ctvnews.ca/steinbach-minister-handed-another-fine-for-defying-public-health-orders-1.5258312>.
- [20] Hao F, Shao W, Huang W. Understanding the influence of contextual factors and individual social capital on American public mask wearing in response to COVID-19. *Health Place*. 2021;68(102537). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33636596>.
- [21] Newfield J. The Impact of Culture on Covid-19 Responses. Goldman School of Public Policy Recent News; [Cited 2020 Dec 18]. Available from: <https://gspp.berkeley.edu/faculty-and-impact/news/recent-news/the-impact-of-culture-on-covid-19-responses>.
- [22] Airhihenbuwa CO, Iwelunmor J, Munodawafa D, Ford CL, Oni T, Agyemang C, et al. Culture Matters in Communicating the Global Response to COVID-19. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2020;17:E60. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd17.200245>.
- [23] Wells CR, Pandey A, Ndeffo Mbah ML, Gaüzère BA, Malvy D, Singer BH, et al. The exacerbation of Ebola outbreaks by conflict in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2019;116(48):24366–72. Available from: <https://www.pnas.org/content/116/48/24366>.
- [24] Moroni F, Gramegna M, Ajello S, Beneduce A, Baldetti L, Vilca LM, et al. Collateral Damage: Medical Care Avoidance Behavior Among Patients With Myocardial Infarction During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JACC Case Reports*. 2020;2(10):1620–4. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666084920303697>.
- [25] Hammond NE, Crowe L, Abbenbroek B, Elliott R, Tian DH, Donaldson LH, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on critical care health care workers depression, anxiety, and stress levels. *Australian Critical Care*. 2020;.
- [26] Sahoo S, Mehra A, Dua D, Suri V, Malhotra P, Yaddanapudi LN, et al. Psychological experience of patients admitted with SARS-CoV-2 infection. vol. 54. *Asian journal of psychiatry*; 2020.
- [27] Fernández RS, Crivelli L, Guimet NM, Allegri RF, Pedreira ME. Psychological distress associated with COVID-19 quarantine: Latent profile analysis, outcome prediction and mediation analysis. *J Affect Disord*. 2020;277:75–84.
- [28] DeBuysscher BL, Wit E, Munster VJ, Scott D, Feldmann H, Prescott J. Comparison of the pathogenicity of Nipah virus isolates from Bangladesh and Malaysia in the Syrian hamster. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2013;7(1):e2024–e2024. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23342177>.
- [29] Soman Pillai V, Krishna G, Valiya Veettil M. Nipah Virus: Past Outbreaks and Future Containment. *Viruses*. 2020;12(4):465. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32325930>.
- [30] Lo MK, Rota PA. The emergence of Nipah virus, a highly pathogenic paramyxovirus. *J Clin Virol*. 2008;43(4):396–400. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1386653208002928>.
- [31] Chua KB, Bellini WJ, Rota PA, Harcourt BH, Tamin A, Lam SK, et al. Nipah virus: a recently emergent deadly paramyxovirus. *Science*. 2000;288(5470):1432–5.

- [32] Chua KB. Epidemiology, surveillance and control of Nipah virus infections in Malaysia. *Malays J Pathol.* 2010;32(2):69–73.
- [33] Sahani M, Parashar UD, Ali R, Das P, Lye MS, Isa MM, et al. Nipah virus infection among abattoir workers in Malaysia, 1998–1999. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2001;30(5):1017–20.
- [34] Chew MHL, Arguin PM, Shay DK, Goh KT, Rollin PE, Shieh WJ, et al. Risk Factors for Nipah Virus Infection among Abattoir Workers in Singapore. *J Infect Dis.* 2000;181(5):1760–3.
- [35] Middleton DJ, Weingartl HM. Henipaviruses in their natural animal hosts. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.* 2012;359:105–21.
- [36] Clayton BA. Nipah virus: transmission of a zoonotic paramyxovirus. *Curr Opin Virol.* 2017;22:97–104. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1879625716302097>.
- [37] Chua KB, Koh CL, Hooi PS, Wee KF, Khong JH, Chua BH, et al. Isolation of Nipah virus from Malaysian Island flying-foxes. *Microbes Infect.* 2002;4(2):145–51.
- [38] Pulliam JRC, Epstein JH, Dushoff J, Rahman SA, Bunning M, Jamaluddin AA, et al. Agricultural intensification, priming for persistence and the emergence of Nipah virus: a lethal bat-borne zoonosis. *J R Soc Interface.* 2012;9(66):89–101.
- [39] Halpin K, Hyatt AD, Fogarty R, Middleton D, Bingham J, Epstein JH, et al. Pteropid bats are confirmed as the reservoir hosts of henipaviruses: a comprehensive experimental study of virus transmission. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2011;85(5):946–51. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22049055>.
- [40] Middleton DJ, Morrissy CJ, Heide BM, Russell GM, Braun MA, Westbury HA, et al. Experimental Nipah Virus Infection in Pteropid Bats (*Pteropus poliocephalus*). *J Comp Pathol.* 2007;136(4):266–72. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S002199750700031X>.
- [41] Epstein JH, Field HE, Luby S, Pulliam JRC, Daszak P. Nipah virus: impact, origins, and causes of emergence. *Curr Infect Dis Rep.* 2006;8(1):59–65.
- [42] Looi LM, Chua KB. Lessons from the Nipah virus outbreak in Malaysia. *Malays J Pathol.* 2007;29(2):63–7.
- [43] Nuzzo JB, Bell JA, Cameron EE. Suboptimal US Response to COVID-19 Despite Robust Capabilities and Resources. *JAMA.* 2020;324(14):1391–2.
- [44] The Associated Press. China delayed releasing coronavirus info, frustrating WHO. AP News. 2020; Available from: <https://apnews.com/article/3c061794970661042b18d5aeaaed9fae>.
- [45] Liu SL, Saif L. Emerging Viruses without Borders: The Wuhan Coronavirus. *Viruses.* 2020;12(2):130. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31979013>.
- [46] Cohen J. Wuhan seafood market may not be source of novel virus spreading globally; 2020. Available from: <https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/01/wuhan-seafood-market-may-not-be-source-novel-virus-spreading-globally>.
- [47] Bloomberg. China Hits Back at Report That It Hid Coronavirus Numbers. Time. 2020; Available from: <https://time.com/5814313/china-denies-hiding-coronavirus/>.
- [48] Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10223):497–506. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30183-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5).
- [49] Blumberg S, Lloyd-Smith JO. Inference of R0 and Transmission Heterogeneity from the Size Distribution of Stuttering Chains. *PLOS Comput Biol.* 2013;9(5):e1002993.
- [50] Gurley ES, Hegde ST, Hossain K, Sazzad HMS, Hossain MJ, Rahman M, et al. Convergence of Humans, Bats, Trees, and Culture in Nipah Virus Transmission, Bangladesh. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2017;23(9):1446–53.
- [51] Blum LS, Khan R, Nahar N, Breiman RF. In-depth assessment of an outbreak of Nipah encephalitis with person-to-person transmission in Bangladesh: implications for prevention and control strategies. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2009;80(1):96–102.
- [52] Nahar N, Sultana R, Gurley ES, Hossain MJ, Luby SP. year Palm Sap Collection: Exploring Opportunities to Prevent Nipah Transmission. *Ecohealth.* 2010;7(2):196–203.
- [53] Khan SU, Gurley ES, Hossain MJ, Nahar N, Sharker MAY, Luby SP. A randomized controlled trial of interventions to impede year palm sap contamination by bats to prevent nipah virus transmission in Bangladesh. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(8).
- [54] Burki T. The origin of SARS-CoV-2. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020;20(9):1018–9.
- [55] Mizumoto K, Kagaya K, Chowell G. Effect of a wet market on coronavirus disease (COVID-19) transmission dynamics in China, 2019–2020. *Int*

- J Infect Dis IJID Off Publ Int Soc Infect Dis. 2020;97:96–101.
- [56] Neuman S. U.S. pressures China to close wet markets thought to be source of COVID-19; 2020. Available from: <https://www.npr.org/sections/coronavirus-live-upyears/2020/04/23/842178010/u-s-pressures-china-to-close-wet-markets-thought-to-be-source-of-covid-19>.
- [57] Petrikova I, Cole J, Farlow A. COVID-19, wet markets, and planetary health. *Lancet Planet Heal.* 2020;4(6):e213–4. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32559435>.
- [58] Nadimpalli ML, Pickering AJ. A call for global monitoring of WASH in wet markets. *Lancet Planet Heal.* 2020;4(10):e439–40. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33038315>.
- [59] Mire CE, Satterfield BA, Geisbert JB, Agans KN, Borisevich V, Yan L, et al. Pathogenic Differences between Nipah Virus Bangladesh and Malaysia Strains in Primates: Implications for Antibody Therapy. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6(1):30916. Available from: .
- [60] Luby SP, Gurley ES, Hossain MJ. Transmission of human infection with Nipah virus. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;49(11):1743–8.
- [61] Lemieux J, Siddle KJ, Shaw BM, Loreth C, Schaffner S, Gladden-Young A, et al. Phylogenetic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in the Boston area highlights the role of recurrent importation and superspreading events. *medRxiv.* 2020; Available from: <http://medrxiv.org/content/early/2020/08/25/2020.08.23.20178236.abstract>.
- [62] Sazzad HMS, Hossain MJ, Gurley ES, Ameen KMH, Parveen S, Islam MS, et al. Nipah virus infection outbreak with nosocomial and corpse-to-human transmission, Bangladesh. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2013;19(2):210–7.
- [63] Capozzo AV. Dying Alone Due to COVID-19: Do the Needs of the Many Outweigh the Rights of the Few-or the One? *Front public Heal.* 2020;8(593464).
- [64] World Health Organization. An R&D Blueprint for Action to Prevent Pandemics: Plan of Action. Geneva, Switzerland; 2016. Available from: https://www.who.int/blueprint/about/r_d_blueprint_plan_of_action.pdf.
- [65] WHO Workshop on Prioritization of Pathogens. Blueprint for R&D preparedness and response to public health emergencies due to highly infectious pathogens. Geneva, Switzerland; 2015.
- [66] WHO R&D Blueprint Team. Prioritizing diseases for research and development in emergency contexts. World Health Organization; 2021. [Cited 2021 Feb 2]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/activities/prioritizing-diseases-for-research-and-development-in-emergency-contexts>.
- [67] Woolhouse M, Scott F, Hudson Z, Howey R, Chase-Topping M. Human viruses: discovery and emergence. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2012;367(1604):2864–71. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22966141>.
- [68] Carlson CJ, Zipfel CM, Garnier R, Bansal S. Global estimates of mammalian viral diversity accounting for host sharing. *Nat Ecol Evol.* 2019;3(7):1070–5.
- [69] Anthony SJ, Epstein JH, Murray KA, Navarrete-Macias I, Zambrana-Torrel CM, Solovyov A, et al. A Strategy To Estimate Unknown Viral Diversity in Mammals. *MBio.* 2013;4(5). Available from: <https://mbio.asm.org/content/4/5/e00598-13>.
- [70] Olson VA, Shchelkunov SN. Are We Prepared in Case of a Possible Smallpox-Like Disease Emergence? *Viruses;* 2017.
- [71] Reynolds MG, Doty JB, McCollum AM, Olson VA, Nakazawa Y. Monkeypox re-emergence in Africa: a call to expand the concept and practice of One Health. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* 2019;17(2):129–39.
- [72] Reynolds MG, Guagliardo SAJ, Nakazawa YJ, Doty JB, Mauldin MR. Understanding orthopoxvirus host range and evolution: from the enigmatic to the usual suspects. *Curr Opin Virol.* 2018;28:108–15. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1879625717301360>.
- [73] Johnson RF, Dyall J, Ragland DR, Huzella L, Byrum R, Jett C, et al. Comparative Analysis of Monkeypox Virus Infection of *Cynomolgus* Macaques by the Intravenous or Intrabronchial Inoculation Route. *J Virol.* 2011;85(5):2112LP–2125. Available from: <http://jvi.asm.org/content/85/5/2112.abstract>.
- [74] Rimoin AW, Mulembakani PM, Johnston SC, Lloyd Smith JO, Kisalu NK, Kinkela TL, et al. Major increase in human monkeypox incidence 30 years after smallpox vaccination campaigns cease in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2010;107(37):16262–7.
- [75] Nolen LD, Osadebe L, Katomba J, Likofata J, Mukadi D, Monroe B, et al. Extended Human-to-Human Transmission during a Monkeypox Outbreak in the Democratic

- Republic of the Congo. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2016;22(6):1014–21.
- [76] Petersen BW, Kabamba J, McCollum AM, Lushima RS, Wemakoy EO, Muyembe Tamfum JJ, et al. Vaccinating against monkeypox in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Antiviral Res.* 2018;162:171–7. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30445121>.
- [77] Woolhouse MEJ, Gowtage-Sequeria S. Host range and emerging and reemerging pathogens. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2005;11(12):1842–7.
- [78] Bellini WJ, Harcourt BH, Bowden N, Rota PA. Nipah virus: an emergent paramyxovirus causing severe encephalitis in humans. *J Neurovirol.* 2005;11(5):481–7.
- [79] FAO. Understanding Ebola virus at the Animal-Human Interface. Rome, Italy; 2016.
- [80] Santini JM, Edwards SJL. Host range of SARS-CoV-2 and implications for public health. *The Lancet Microbe.* 2020;1(4):e141–2.
- [81] Ji W, Wang W, Zhao X, Zai J, Li X. Cross-species transmission of the newly identified coronavirus 2019-nCoV. *J Med Virol.* 2020;92(4):433–40.
- [82] Ariel E. Viruses in reptiles. *Vet Res.* 2011;42(1):100.
- [83] de Vries R, Ludlow M, de Jong A, Rennick L, Verburgh R, Amerongen G. Delineating morbillivirus entry, dissemination and airborne transmission by studying in vivo competition of multicolor canine distemper viruses in ferrets. *PLoS Pathog.* 2017;13(5):e1006371.
- [84] Sakai K, Nagata N, Ami Y, Seki F, Suzaki Y, Iwata-Yoshikawa N, et al. Lethal canine distemper virus outbreak in cynomolgus monkeys in Japan in 2008. *J Virol.* 2013;87(2):1105–14.
- [85] Loots AK, Mitchell E, Dalton DL, Kotzé A, Venter EH. Advances in canine distemper virus pathogenesis research: a wildlife perspective. *J Gen Virol.* 2017;98(3):311–21.
- [86] Sakai K, Yoshikawa T, Seki F, Fukushi S, Tahara M, Nagata N. Canine distemper virus associated with a lethal outbreak in monkeys can readily adapt to use human receptors. *J Virol.* 2013;87(12):7170–5.
- [87] Bieringer M, Han JW, Kendl S, Khosravi M, Plattet P, Schneider-Schaulies J. Experimental Adaptation of Wild-Type Canine Distemper Virus (CDV) to the Human Entry Receptor CD150. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(3):e57488.
- [88] Abdullah N, Kelly JT, Graham SC, Birch J, Gonçalves-Carneiro D, Mitchell T, et al. Structure-Guided Identification of a Nonhuman Morbillivirus with Zoonotic Potential. *J Virol.* 2018;92(23).
- [89] Parrish CR, Holmes EC, Morens DM, Park EC, Burke DS, Calisher CH, et al. Cross-species virus transmission and the emergence of new epidemic diseases. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* 2008;72(3):457–70.
- [90] National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases D of VD. In: If You Have Pets. CDC COVID-19; 2021. [Cited 2021 Mar 1]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/pets.html>.
- [91] McNamara T, Richt JA, Glickman L. A Critical Needs Assessment for Research in Companion Animals and Livestock Following the Pandemic of COVID-19 in Humans. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2020;20(6):393–405. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32374208>.
- [92] Gao T, Pan X, Pan C. The fate of house cats during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Microbes Infect.* 2020;22(4–5):157. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32334040>.
- [93] Chen H, C LS. H5N1 avian influenza in China; 2009.
- [94] Miyoshi M, Komagome R, Yamaguchi H, Ishida S, Nagano H, Ohnishi A. Administrative Laboratory Findings for Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus A (H5N6). Individuals Engaged in a Mass Culling of Poultry. 2016;71(4):317–9.
- [95] Enserink M. Coronavirus rips through Dutch mink farms, triggering culls. vol. 368. New York: Science; 2020.
- [96] Murray A. Coronavirus: Denmark shaken by cull of millions of mink. *BBC News*; [Cited 2020 Nov 11]. Available from: <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-54890229>.
- [97] Cohen NE, Asseldonk MAPM, Stassen EN. Social-ethical issues concerning the control strategy of animal diseases in the European Union: A survey. *Agric Human Values.* 2007;24(4):499–510.
- [98] Park H, Chun MS, Joo Y. Traumatic Stress of Frontline Workers in Culling Livestock Animals in South Korea. *Anim an open access J from MDPI.* 2020;10(10):1920. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33086638>.
- [99] Smith KM, Machalaba CC, Seifman R, Feferholtz Y, Karesh WB. Infectious disease and

economics: The case for considering multi-sectoral impacts. *One Heal.* 2019;7:100080. Available from: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S235277141830034X>.

- [100] Woolhouse M. How to make predictions about future infectious disease risks. *Biol Sci.* 2011;366(1573):2045–54.
- [101] Edwards CE, Yount BL, Graham RL, Leist H SR, YJ D, H K, et al. Swine acute diarrhea syndrome coronavirus replication in primary human cells reveals potential susceptibility to infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* 2020;117(43):26915–25. Available from: <https://www.pnas.org/content/117/43/26915>.